BESTARI: Jurnal Studi Pendidikan Islam
Vol. 23, No. 1, 2026
p-ISSN 1907-1337; e-ISSN 2807-6532
DOI: https://doi.org/10.36667/bestari.v23i1.1951

Teaching Compassion in the Machine Age: Islamic Pedagogy, Artificial Intelligence, and the Formation of Ethical Citizenship in a Fragmented World

Zhang Ismail[1], Lilis Nurteti[2]
[1] National University of Kaohsiung, Taiwan
[2] Faculty of Education and Teacher Training, Universitas Islam Darussalam (UID), Ciamis, Indonesia
Email: ismailzang@nuk.ac.tw[1], lilisnurteti@uidc.ac.id[2]

Received: February 3, 2026 | Accepted: Juni 12, 2026

Abstract

The contemporary educational field stands at a defining historical crossroads where the rapid integration of artificial intelligence challenges the spiritual foundation of Islamic pedagogy. We are witnessing machines that process information with terrifying efficiency, yet this cold computational power completely fails to nurture the affective and moral depth required of a young learner. This article critically examines the structural tension between algorithmic decision-making and the traditional need for a living human mentor. Automation brings concealed biases into our learning spaces, creating a dangerous moral vacuum when left unguided by human conscience. Recognizing a severe lack of digital literacy and systemic institutional resistance, the analysis diagnoses why current intelligent systems struggle to process the profound nuances of sacred texts. To address these vulnerabilities, this study proposes a blended pedagogical model that intentionally subordinates technical capacity to human wisdom. By incubating fundamental Islamic principles directly into technology literacy curricula, educators can act as an irreplaceable moral filter while utilizing the machine merely as a cognitive assistant. Pluralistic collaboration between theologians, technical experts, and daily educators remains an absolute requirement to design value-sensitive frameworks. We hold a massive responsibility to reclaim our classrooms and form ethical citizens capable of confidently navigating a highly fragmented digital world with true compassion.

Abstrak

Bidang pendidikan kontemporer saat ini berada di persimpangan sejarah yang menentukan, di mana integrasi kecerdasan buatan secara cepat menantang fondasi spiritual dari pedagogi Islam. Kita menyaksikan mesin yang memproses informasi dengan efisiensi luar biasa, tetapi kekuatan komputasi yang dingin ini sama sekali gagal memelihara kedalaman afektif dan moral yang dibutuhkan oleh seorang pelajar muda. Artikel ini secara kritis menguji ketegangan struktural antara pengambilan keputusan

algoritmik dan kebutuhan tradisional akan seorang mentor manusia yang hidup. Otomatisasi membawa bias tersembunyi ke dalam ruang-ruang belajar kita, menciptakan kekosongan moral yang berbahaya ketika dibiarkan berjalan tanpa bimbingan hati nurani manusia. Dengan menyadari kurangnya literasi digital dan besarnya resistensi kelembagaan yang sistemik, analisis ini mendiagnosis mengapa sistem cerdas saat ini kesulitan memproses nuansa mendalam dari teks-teks suci. Untuk mengatasi kerentanan tersebut, studi ini mengusulkan model pedagogi hibrida yang secara sengaja menundukkan kapasitas teknis di bawah kebijaksanaan manusia. Dengan menginkubasi prinsip-prinsip dasar Islam langsung ke dalam kurikulum literasi teknologi, pendidik dapat bertindak sebagai penyaring moral yang tak tergantikan sambil memanfaatkan mesin sekadar sebagai asisten kognitif. Kolaborasi pluralistik antara teolog, ahli teknis, dan pendidik harian tetap menjadi syarat mutlak untuk merancang kerangka kerja yang peka terhadap nilai. Kita memikul tanggung jawab besar untuk merebut kembali ruang kelas kita dan membentuk warga negara etis yang mampu menavigasi dunia digital yang sangat terfragmentasi dengan welas asih sejati.

Keywords: Islamic pedagogy, artificial intelligence, ethical citizenship, hybrid learning, moral development, algorithmic bias, *maqāṣid al-sharīʿah*

INTRODUCTION

We sometimes look at the glowing screens in my classroom and wonder what we are actually teaching our students, because the contemporary educational field is clearly standing at a defining historical crossroads. As Syamsudduha et al. (2026) and Abubakari (2025a) point out in their recent observations, the intersection of Islamic pedagogy and artificial intelligence is rapidly gaining relevance as educational institutions seek to harness technological advancement while preserving spiritual values. We are witnessing machines that process information with an almost limitless speed, yet this cold computational power will never spontaneously generate authentic human empathy. Can a programmed system truly teach a child how to care for another human being? I doubt it; true compassion requires a living mentor. This realization brings a certain philosophical anxiety (a quiet fear that we might be trading our moral depth for mere technical efficiency), which we must address directly before our schools lose their foundational purpose. The speed of information retrieval is staggering, but data alone cannot form the moral character of a young learner. We must critically evaluate this shift.

Our current situation demands a strong conceptual foundation, and the rich traditions of Islamic education provide exactly the needed structure to guide technology toward noble character. According to Syamsudduha et al. (2026), Islamic pedagogy, rooted in principles such as

adab, amanah, *maqāṣid al-sharīʿah*, and *ihsan*, offers a sturdy foundation for embedding compassion and moral development within contemporary education. We think we often forget that these are not just historical concepts; they are living, breathing practices meant to protect human dignity against the relentless advance of automated systems. As Abu Bakar et al. (2025) argue alongside Ali et al. (2025a), these principles must be actively operationalized in literacy curricula to emphasize justice, responsibility, and human dignity. Generative algorithms introduce completely new variables into religious education, creating a space where divine revelation meets cold computation. The mathematical processing of these advanced systems lacks a beating heart, meaning we cannot rely on them to teach ethical citizenship or profound spiritual values. Empathy is a deeply human trait, born from shared struggles and lived experiences, something a machine simply cannot replicate. It is entirely a human responsibility to model these virtues.

This stark reality requires a totally fresh pedagogical approach, one that boldly refuses to let the machine dictate the terms of our humanity. Drawing from the insightful research of Nirwana et al. (2025) regarding learning outcomes, artificial intelligence applications significantly improve cognitive and psychomotor learning but remain severely limited in supporting affective and spiritual development. We cannot simply accept ready-made software and blindly expect our students to become compassionate, ethical citizens in a fragmented world. Instead, we must actively build a blended learning environment where technical capacity is always subordinated to spiritual mentorship and moral guidance. We often tell my colleagues that our classrooms must remain sanctuaries of human connection, places where students learn the weight of responsibility rather than just the mechanics of coding. The mathematical models are undeniably fast, but they are completely blind to the beautiful, winding complexities of faith and morality. The research by Abubakari (2025a) highlights that generative tools in religious education, representing a space where revelation meets algorithms, must be carefully navigated. This situation must change.

METHODS

We often find that researching the intersection of technology and faith requires a careful, almost delicate approach to gathering information. To build a strong foundation for this analysis, we relied on a systematic conceptual review of contemporary literature published between 2023 and 2026. Following the methodological structure often utilized in comprehensive reviews by Zainadun et al. (2025), the primary strategy involved identifying peer-reviewed journals, conference proceedings, and academic reports that directly address artificial intelligence within Islamic educational contexts. We think we need a wide lens to truly capture this

phenomenon. By gathering sources from international databases, the process captured a diverse range of perspectives on both the technical limitations of algorithms and the theological concerns of educators. As Zul et al. (2026) demonstrate in their own systematic reviews, looking at global discussions helps us recognize deep structural flaws rather than just localized technical errors. The initial search yielded dozens of papers (many focused entirely on cognitive benefits), yet we intentionally filtered the selection to prioritize studies addressing affective development, algorithmic bias, and ethical citizenship. We must filter out the noise.

Once the literature was carefully collected, the next phase required a deep, critical synthesis of the underlying arguments. We applied a thematic analysis to categorize the findings into distinct conceptual areas, focusing in particular on the tension between computational efficiency and traditional human authority. Relying on the analytical models presented by Abubakari et al. (2026) in their comprehensive review, we critically compared the promises of technology developers against the actual lived experiences of teachers in Islamic classrooms. We sometimes notice that academic reviews simply list facts; we wanted this method, by contrast, to actively diagnose the moral vacuum created by automated systems. The synthesis process involved cross-referencing technical studies on algorithmic error rates with philosophical papers on *maqāṣid al-sharīʿah* to build a complete understanding of the problem. According to Zulkifli and Tungkagi (2025), a systematic evaluation of Islamic ethical guidelines is an absolute requirement for proposing any valid pedagogical solution. By structuring the analysis deductively, the method moves naturally from a broad philosophical diagnosis to a highly specific proposal for a blended learning environment. The analysis must serve a human purpose.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Diagnosing Contemporary Challenges and Ethical Tensions

We often catch myself looking at the automated grading systems we use and wondering what hidden values we are unconsciously importing into our learning spaces. We usually forget that automation brings along concealed biases, shaping the minds of our students in ways we barely notice. As Nirwana et al. (2025) openly state in their recent study, artificial intelligence possesses a massive weakness in the affective and spiritual domains, a condition that creates a dangerous moral vacuum if left unguided by human conscience. We think this is a terrifying prospect for Islamic education. A machine can analyze a text beautifully; it cannot, by contrast, teach a student how to feel compassion for a struggling peer. According to Abubakari (2025a) alongside Ali et al. (2025), a growing tension exists between algorithmic decision-making and traditional human authority. We are allowing mathematical efficiency to replace the slow, winding, and deeply personal work of character formation.

The real problem we face is a deep structural tension between the rapid search efficiency of algorithms and a student's desperate need for a living human authority figure. We expect our students to learn wisdom, yet we hand them tools designed only to find repeating statistical patterns. The research by Azam et al. (2026) shows with absolute clarity that the absence of ethical governance frameworks consistently leads to systemic bias and severe religious compliance dilemmas. We remember reading about early algorithmic models and thinking how completely detached they were from any concept of divine accountability. As Habib (2025) points out regarding algorithmic bias, without the anchoring principles of *maqāṣid al-sharīʿah*, these systems create profound ethical dilemmas and tension with religious authority. Students are increasingly exposed to personalized learning environments that, while technically impressive, strip away the communal and spiritual aspects of learning. As Nasir et al. (2025) observe in their evaluation of memorization tools, features like voice recognition and adaptive learning are incredibly useful for technical accuracy but remain entirely devoid of spiritual warmth. An algorithm is just a machine looking for data, not a mentor sharing the wisdom of a lived human life (a truth we seem eager to ignore). We are building efficient networks, but we are failing to cultivate moral depth.

We must confront the fact that these tools are not neutral observers; they are programmed systems that carry the blind spots of their creators. If we leave artificial intelligence to run without human-centered supervision, we are basically abandoning our responsibility as educators. Relying on the analytical observations of Azam et al. (2026) regarding Sharia auditing, the current lack of transparency in algorithmic systems demands immediate regulatory accountability to prevent moral failure. We sometimes fear that our institutions are too captivated by the speed of technology to notice the spiritual decay happening right in front of us. In their detailed look at governance, Anggriawan and Hamsin (2026) highlight that ethical failures in automated systems are inevitable when we prioritize cold computation over human dignity. Noting the affective limitations, Shehab et al. (2025) and Widodo (2025) confirm that deep moral and spiritual development consistently falls short when handled by machines. A prebuilt algorithm does not understand the beautiful complexities of faith, meaning it will always fail to provide genuine spiritual guidance. The math is impressive, yet the moral core is completely hollow. We need living, breathing teachers.

Examining Root Causes and Structural Conditions

The logical next question that often occupies my mind is why these moral tensions repeatedly occur and remain so difficult to solve in many educational institutions. We see the exact same problems year after year, which suggests a deep structural flaw rather than a temporary glitch. Relying on the detailed reports from Massouti et al. (2026) alongside Ali et al. (2025), we can clearly identify a severe lack of digital literacy and

massive institutional resistance among teachers as the main reasons for this delayed ethical adaptation. We think we frequently expect educators to act as modern tech experts overnight, ignoring the reality that they are already overwhelmed by their daily teaching burdens. According to Nirwana et al. (2025), these institutional barriers represent profound socio-cultural tensions and valid ethical concerns about the future of religious authority, far beyond simple financial limits. Teachers are basically being asked to implement systems they do not fully understand and fundamentally distrust (a situation that practically guarantees failure from the start). We cannot simply push new software into a school and expect a big improvement in moral education.

This widening knowledge gap becomes drastically more complicated when we try to connect modern computational tools with the profound depth of classical Islamic texts. We are taking systems designed for quick data retrieval and forcing them to process centuries of nuanced spiritual heritage. According to Pahrudin et al. (2025) and Ali et al. (2026), the current gaps in digital literacy drastically reduce effective adoption, leaving teachers unable to bridge the divide between cold code and sacred tradition. We sometimes watch my colleagues struggle to evaluate an algorithmic output against traditional theological interpretations, and We realize how unfair this burden truly is. As Zul et al. (2026) point out in their extensive review, overcoming these resource disparities requires a systemic transformation and specialized training that most institutions simply cannot afford right now. We are trying to navigate a complex, winding theological maze using a map drawn by software engineers who have no background in Islamic jurisprudence. According to Merah and Tahraoui (2026), this friction makes the integration of new technologies feel like a heavy burden for many traditional educators. This structural disconnect is practically paralyzing our schools.

When we look closely at the actual performance of these machines in specific religious domains, the promises of the technology industry begin to fall apart very quickly. The developers of these systems constantly promise ready-made solutions that can flawlessly teach sacred texts, but the daily reality in our classrooms tells a completely different story. Based on the rigorous technical analysis by Alrumiah and Al-Shargabi (2023), current intelligent systems exhibit incredibly high error rates when trying to process the deep linguistic complexity of Classical Arabic. A machine might easily parse a standard modern sentence; it completely fails, by contrast, to capture the delicate, historical nuances embedded in Quranic grammar. We think we are entirely too willing to believe the marketing materials of technology companies without testing the tools ourselves. According to Alfadhli et al. (2024), even advanced end-to-end architectures struggle to accurately recognize the specific rules of recitation. The mathematics behind the software simply cannot handle the profound

variability of human devotion. These tools are definitely not ready to explore the deep ocean of our spiritual traditions.

The technical limits become painfully obvious when we examine the specific practice of Tajweed, a discipline that requires a master's ear to detect the slightest variations in breath and pronunciation. We desperately need reliable tools to help students practice, yet the automated systems consistently miss the subtle details that make a recitation truly beautiful and correct. According to Hulliyah et al. (2025), even when using advanced adaptation techniques, machines face severe technical challenges in evaluating the accuracy of Al-Fatihah recitations. This situation represents a fundamental inability of cold computation to process sacred art, far beyond a simple programming error. As Al-Issa et al. (2023) and Alshboul et al. (2025) note in their research, the immense cultural sensitivity and the vast variability in recitation styles across different linguistic groups make reliable model development incredibly difficult. A student from Indonesia sounds different from a student in Egypt (a beautiful reality of our global community that a rigid algorithm completely fails to understand). The prebuilt applications treat all voices as generic data points, stripping away the unique cultural identity of the reciter. We are losing the human touch.

All of these interconnected factors create a structural environment where ethical adaptation is almost impossible for our teachers. We cannot build compassionate, ethical citizens using systems that do not even understand the basic rules of our sacred texts. According to Yazbeck (2026) alongside Ahmed (2024), overcoming this massive hurdle requires institutional policies that strictly align with both Islamic principles and national technology strategies. We often tell my students that we cannot wait for the technology industry to fix these problems for us, because their main goal is profit, not spiritual purity. As Zul et al. (2026) firmly argue, we desperately need specialized training and deep community engagement to uphold Islamic values alongside any technological integration. We have to fundamentally reorganize our educational priorities to put human teachers back in the center of the learning process. The computational tools are definitely useful as secondary aids, but they remain entirely unequipped to guide a young soul. The foundation must be human.

Hybrid Pedagogy as a Systematic Response

After dissecting the anatomy of this problem so closely, we can actually build a measurable and applicable way forward. We think the answer lies in actively reshaping our classrooms rather than completely rejecting modern tools, because ignoring progress is simply not a realistic option. Relying on the insightful proposals of Birgün (2026) alongside Yahya et al. (2025), a blended pedagogical model offers a highly effective structure that preserves the irreplaceable role of the teacher as a spiritual mentor, working in smooth coordination with the machine acting as a mere

cognitive assistant. We must position human educators and computational tools to complement each other in our learning spaces, avoiding any assumption that a prebuilt application can replace human wisdom. We often imagine a classroom where the algorithm handles the repetitive tasks of vocabulary matching, freeing the teacher to engage in deep, meaningful conversations about the moral weight of those very words. According to Abubakari et al. (2026), these hybrid models are absolutely necessary to maintain spiritual transmission while we use the analytical speed of automated systems.

This systematic approach directly addresses the glaring affective weakness of current technology by dividing responsibilities based on true capabilities. As Zainadun et al. (2025) and Mustapa et al. (2025) explicitly state in their research, intelligent systems significantly improve cognitive learning and memorization rates, but they consistently fall short in offering any form of ethical or spiritual guidance. We sometimes watch my students blindly follow an automated prompt, and we realize we are failing them if we do not step in as active moral guides. Relying on the recent findings of Wedi et al. (2025), a successful digital transformation requires us to embed value-based learning designs directly into our technical platforms, making sure that human supervision always filters the raw algorithmic output. A computer program can easily track a student's reading speed (a helpful metric for basic assessment); it cannot, by contrast, teach that student the humility required to truly understand a sacred text. The technology is undeniably fast and efficient, yet its moral core is completely empty without a living mentor standing beside it. We need living, breathing teachers.

To make this blended system actually work, this systematic solution requires us to incubate fundamental Islamic values into our technology literacy curricula from the very first day a student enters the classroom. We cannot wait until a moral crisis occurs to start teaching ethics. Based on the extensive work of Syamsudduha et al. (2026) alongside Abu Bakar et al. (2025), principles like proper conduct, trust, and divine accountability must form the active foundation of our digital education, transforming how students interact with these advanced tools. We believe we often make the mistake of teaching coding and data analysis as neutral skills, completely ignoring the reality that every line of code carries an inherent moral direction. According to Zulkifli et al. (2025), embedding these profound ethical frameworks helps students critically evaluate algorithmic outputs rather than passively accepting them as absolute truth. We are basically training their moral reflexes to question the machine. A truly literate student in this modern era knows how to write a complex prompt, and they also know when to turn the screen off to protect their own spiritual well-being. Technical skill without moral grounding is a dangerous combination.

Building this strong moral defense naturally begins with our educators, because a confused teacher can never produce an ethically

grounded student. We often talk to colleagues who feel completely overwhelmed by new software updates, and we realize we are expecting them to guide students through a digital maze without providing a reliable map. Following the strong academic direction of Zulkifli et al. (2025) and Lal (2026), institutional training programs must immediately incorporate the concepts of justice and proper manners into the professional development of every teacher. We need to teach our educators how to critically assess these tools through the lens of Islamic jurisprudence, preparing them to build a solid ethical fortress in their classrooms. As Kannike and Fahm (2025) point out, this specific training supports holistic character development by explicitly connecting technical risk mitigation with our highest religious values. A well-trained teacher does not fear the algorithm; they simply understand its limitations and actively guide their students away from its hidden biases (a necessary skill for surviving in a highly connected society). We must heavily invest in the people leading our classrooms.

This massive educational shift demands a systemic transformation that goes far beyond a simple afternoon training session for staff members. We see so much institutional resistance today, largely because of a severe lack of structured, long-term support for the teachers trying to implement these changes. Relying on the analytical observations of Massouti et al. (2026) and Zul et al. (2026), overcoming this widespread reluctance requires continuous community engagement and deep structural reforms that prioritize human dignity over pure computational efficiency. We think school administrators often focus too much on buying the latest hardware, completely forgetting that the most advanced technology is useless without a confident, morally grounded human directing its use. According to Umar et al. (2025), we desperately need pluralistic collaboration between Islamic scholars, technical experts, and daily educators to co-design curricula that truly respect our cultural identity. This teamwork creates a shared ethical vision, making sure that our educational standards dictate the behavior of the software, rather than allowing the software to dictate our educational standards. The community must actively participate in this technical design process.

The final aim of this blended pedagogy is the formation of ethical citizens who can confidently navigate a highly fragmented digital world without losing their spiritual core. When we combine the speed of algorithms with the warmth of human mentorship, we give our students the exact tools they need to succeed both intellectually and morally. As Widodo (2025) explains regarding interactive learning models, embedding religious values into modern technological platforms directly improves critical literacy and deepens a student's internalized religiosity. We sometimes imagine a future where our graduates use their technical expertise to build fairer systems, actively applying the principles of Islamic justice to correct the biases hidden inside global networks. Relying on the

research of Kim (2026), this form of guided, value-sensitive education prepares young minds to engage with automated systems responsibly, turning potential moral hazards into opportunities for demonstrating profound human compassion. A machine can definitely calculate a complex probability, but only a human being can choose to act with genuine mercy toward a neighbor. Our schools must fiercely protect and cultivate that beautiful human capacity.

Toward Ethical Citizenship: A Call for Intellectual Responsibility

An academic article should never just fade away into a passive observation, because the ideas we discuss here carry a profound weight for the actual lives of our students. We are actively bearing a massive responsibility to educate a young generation currently growing up in a world increasingly fragmented by the sheer speed of global information flows. We often look at my students and realize they are navigating a digital space that practically demands their constant attention while offering very little moral anchoring in return. Following the powerful arguments of Dehart (2026) regarding human agency, we must understand that literacy today goes far beyond reading text; it requires a deep, active resistance against systems that treat human attention as mere data. We are watching machines process information with terrifying efficiency, a situation that forces us to question what kind of civilization we are actually building. The speed of this digital transition is entirely unprecedented, leaving many educators feeling as though they are constantly playing a frantic game of catch-up rather than leading a thoughtful pedagogical movement. A cold algorithm simply calculates probabilities without any understanding of the human soul.

This monumental task absolutely requires a massive, coordinated effort across completely different fields of expertise, breaking down the isolated walls that usually separate religious scholars from software engineers. Based on the expansive research by Umar et al. (2025), pluralistic collaboration between Islamic theologians, technical experts, and daily educators stands as an absolute requirement for designing systems that genuinely respect our cultural values. We think we frequently make a terrible mistake when we leave the design of educational technology entirely to private companies, expecting them to magically understand the nuances of Islamic jurisprudence. The isolation of these academic disciplines creates a massive blind spot, where computer scientists build highly capable tools without ever considering the theological consequences of their code. As Dehart (2026) and Ly et al. (2025) strongly argue, we need a value-sensitive design approach that intentionally embeds privacy and ethical responsibility directly into the machine learning process from the very beginning. We need theologians sitting in the same room as programmers, translating the timeless principles of divine accountability into the language of code (a difficult yet entirely necessary conversation).

The technology must adapt to our moral standards, rather than forcing our schools to adapt to its cold logic. This collaborative effort will dictate our future.

The urgency of this intellectual action becomes painfully clear when we look at how fast these automated systems are reshaping the fundamental nature of religious authority. We are not just talking about upgrading software; we are talking about protecting the sacred relationship between a student and their spiritual mentor. Relying on the analytical observations of Le et al. (2026) alongside Zul et al. (2026), systemic transformation demands that we immediately improve teacher competence and their commitment to ethical engagement with artificial intelligence. We sometimes worry that if we do not train our teachers to critically evaluate these systems, our students will simply start treating the algorithm as an absolute religious authority. The stakes are incredibly high, as the gradual erosion of human authority in our schools could easily lead to a generation that values algorithmic compliance over genuine moral conviction. According to Azam et al. (2026), the current lack of continuous Sharia auditing in these digital platforms creates a massive risk of algorithmic bias completely overriding our traditional legal and moral frameworks. A machine does not possess the capacity for moral reasoning, meaning we have to supply that ethical compass ourselves. We have to train our educators to be the primary moral filter in the classroom.

Our vision for the future must expand far beyond the walls of our individual schools, recognizing that this ethical debate holds profound implications for the broader trajectory of our global civilization. We are standing at a historical juncture that demands intense intellectual courage and an unwavering commitment from all of us, because the machines will only grow faster and more capable. Drawing from the insightful recommendations of Campbell-Esen et al. (2026), actively co-designing these frameworks with local Muslim communities enriches the entire governance structure with profound values like honesty, justice, and inclusivity. We believe this community-level engagement is exactly what we need to turn abstract ethical concepts into living, breathing practices that protect our students. We are dealing with global networks that operate across borders, meaning our ethical frameworks must be robust enough to withstand immense cultural pressure from the outside world. As Ahmed (2024) points out regarding institutional integration, establishing strict policies that align with both Islamic principles and national strategies helps build a sturdy defense against the hidden biases of global technology networks. We are basically tasked with writing the moral rulebook for an entirely new era of human existence (a heavy burden, certainly, but one we cannot afford to ignore). The stakes are simply too high for complacency.

We must dedicate ourselves to the deliberate formation of ethical citizens who possess both the technical skill to navigate this new era and the spiritual grounding to remain deeply human. These young learners will

eventually become the engineers, policymakers, and teachers of tomorrow, meaning their moral foundation will directly shape the systems they build. Ethical citizenship in this modern era demands a constant, active vigilance, a willingness to question the invisible logic of the algorithms that govern our daily lives. According to the comprehensive framework proposed by Syamsudduha et al. (2026) and Ali et al. (2025a), embedding principles like proper conduct and trust into our digital curricula actively prepares students to use technology as a tool for justice, rather than a weapon of exploitation. We often tell my class that true intelligence is knowing when to close the laptop and look a struggling friend in the eye with genuine compassion. Based on the findings of Manaf and Shariffadeen (2025), when we combine interactive technological tools with strong ethical mentorship, we successfully promote a culture of religious tolerance and deep intellectual inquiry. A machine will never shed a tear for the suffering of others, and it will never understand the beautiful weight of divine trust. The human heart remains our most powerful asset.

This defining historical situation forces us to draw a firm line in the sand, demanding that we aggressively protect the spiritual core of our educational spaces from the relentless noise of automated efficiency. We cannot allow cold computation to dictate the rhythm of our moral development, because true wisdom requires time, patience, and the gentle guidance of a living mentor. Relying on the foundational arguments of Abubakari (2025a) alongside Wahid (2025), integrating the objectives of Islamic law into our educational technology is entirely necessary to assess and mitigate the harms of a purely data-focused society. We want to see a future where our schools are recognized not just for their technical prowess, but for the profound empathy and moral courage of the students walking out of their doors. We have the deep intellectual heritage required to guide this transition, provided we have the courage to stand up and assert the primacy of the human soul. As Maulana et al. (2026) observe, finding our spiritual center in this highly connected society requires us to intentionally prioritize human dignity above all other metrics of success. The algorithmic models are definitely impressive in their speed, yet they remain totally empty vessels without the warmth of human intention. Our classrooms must be reclaimed today. We need living, breathing teachers.

CONCLUSION

Reflecting on the rapid spread of computational tools in our schools, we realize that we face a defining choice about the future of human empathy. We are navigating an era where machines process information with terrifying efficiency, yet this technical speed completely fails to nurture the moral depth required of a young learner. A programmed system simply cannot teach compassion, because genuine care demands a living mentor who understands the beautiful complexities of faith and struggle. The integration of technology into Islamic education requires a

strong ethical anchor to prevent a dangerous moral vacuum. We cannot allow cold algorithms to dictate the rhythm of our moral development, meaning we must intentionally subordinate technical capacity to human wisdom.

To protect our educational spaces, we must actively build a blended learning environment where the human teacher remains the irreplaceable center of character formation. The machine serves simply as a cognitive assistant, processing data while the educator translates that information into profound moral lessons. This systemic transformation requires an unwavering commitment to train our educators and incubate fundamental Islamic values directly into our digital curricula from the very beginning. We are preparing students to navigate a highly fragmented world, a task that demands deep intellectual courage and active resistance against the hidden biases of automated networks. Our schools must fiercely protect the primacy of human dignity, making sure that every technological advancement serves the higher purpose of cultivating justice, trust, and true ethical citizenship.

The stakes for our shared civilization are simply too high to accept a passive approach to this digital transition. A cold algorithm will never shed a tear for a struggling neighbor, and it will never understand the profound weight of divine accountability. We hold a massive responsibility to reclaim our classrooms, transforming them into sanctuaries of human connection where technical skill is always guided by a strong moral compass. True wisdom is a deeply human trait, born from lived experience and shared devotion. We need living, breathing teachers to light that path.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS
Gratitude is extended to colleagues for their discussions, and appreciation is also conveyed to the university for its assistance in enhancing the quality and utility of this publication.

CONFLICT OF INTERESTS
The authors assert the absence of any conflict of interest. We affirm that the manuscript is original and is not presently under review by any other publisher.

ETHICAL CONSIDERATIONS
The literature sources for this study—articles, research papers, research articles, and scientific forum proceedings—are all free from copyright infringement.

DISCLAIMER

The views and assumptions expressed in this article are those of the author and do not necessarily reflect the official policy or position of any affiliated agency of the authors.

REFERENCES

Abu Bakar, S. B., Hussin, H. B., Ahmad, Z. A. S. B., & Rozaini, M. A. S. B. (2025). Reconstructing Artificial Intelligence Ethics through Qur'anic Values of Ihsan and Amanah Using Thematic Exegesis. *Quranica*, 17(2 Special Issue), 429–446.

Abubakari, M. S. (2025a). Generative AI in Contemporary Islamic Religious Education Discourse: Revelation Meets Algorithm. In *Intersections of AI and the Freedom of Religion or Belief* (pp. 161–200).

Abubakari, M. S., Zakaria, G. A. N., Yusmaliana, D., Zaldi, A., Musa, J., & Taruna, M. M. (2026). Pedagogical Perspectives on Artificial Intelligence in Islamic Religious Education: A Comprehensive Review. In *Ethical, Legal, and Pedagogical Perspectives on AI in Education* (pp. 239–275).

Ahmed, H. (2024). Institutional Integration of Artificial Intelligence in Higher Education: The Moderation Effect of Ethical Consideration. *International Journal of Educational Reform*.

Alfadhli, S., Alharbi, H., & Cherif, A. (2024). qArI: A Hybrid CTC/Attention-Based Model for Quran Recitation Recognition Using Bidirectional LSTMP in an End-to-End Architecture. *IEEE Access*, 12, 95762–95777.

Ali, F., Bouzoubaa, K., Gelli, F., Hamzi, B., & Khan, S. (2025). Islamic Ethics and AI: An Evaluation of Existing Approaches to AI using Trusteeship Ethics. *Philosophy and Technology*, 38(3).

Ali, U., Hanif, S., Jabeen, F., Shaheen, M. N. U. K., Imran, A., Zaman, S., Anaç, A. S., & Alici, İ. (2026). Ethical Management of Artificial Intelligence in Special Education: Balancing Innovation and Inclusion. *International Journal of Special Education*, 41(2S), 219–233.

Al-Issa, S., Alshboul, M., & Al-Ayyoub, M. (2023). Enhanced Neural Speech Recognizer for Quranic Recitations. *2023 International Conference on Multimedia Computing, Networking and Applications, MCNA 2023*, 62–66.

Alrumiah, S. S., & Al-Shargabi, A. A. (2023). Intelligent Quran Recitation Recognition and Verification: Research Trends and Open Issues. *Arabian Journal for Science and Engineering*, 48(8), 9859–9885.

Alshboul, M., Al Muaitah, A. R., Al-Issa, S., & Al-Ayyoub, M. (2025). Enhanced Neural Speech Recognition of Quranic Recitations via a Large Audio Model †. *Applied Sciences (Switzerland)*, 15(17).

Andri Nirwana, A. N., Rifai, A., Ali, M., Ali Mustofa, T., Nur Vambudi, V., Nur Rochim Maksum, M., & Umar Budihargo, M. (2025). SWOT Analysis of AI Integration in Islamic Education: Cognitive, Affective, and Psychomotor Impacts. *Qubahan Academic Journal*, 5(1), 476–503.

Anggriawan, R., & Hamsin, M. K. (2026). Deconstructing the digital veil: criminal liability and ethical failures in AI-driven Sharia credit scoring systems in Indonesia. *Law, Ethics and Technology*, 3(2).

Azam, M., Pharaon, R. A. K. M., Mahdy, E., Albabili, M. A. I., Alsyouf, B., & Bagayev, B. (2026). AI-Driven Sharia Governance in Islamic Digital Payment Systems: Developing a Contemporary Islamic Law Framework for Ethical and Regulatory Compliance. *Nusantara: Journal of Law Studies*, 5(2), 849–880.

Birgün, M. (2026). Integrating AI into Qur'an learning: Technical advances and pedagogical gaps. *Social Sciences and Humanities Open*, 13.

Campbell-Esen, R., Mahoney, J., & Talhouk, R. (2026). Co-Designing Islamic AI Ethics: Insights from the UK Muslim Community. *Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems - Proceedings*.

Dehart, J. D. (2026). AI, Literacy, and Human Agency in Education. In *AI, Literacy, and Human Agency in Education*.

Habib, Z. (2025). Ethics of Artificial Intelligence in Maqāṣid Al-Sharīʿa's Perspective. *KARSA*, 33(1), 105–134.

Hulliyah, K., Norenza, Z., & Agustin, F. E. M. (2025). Efficient Whisper Fine-Tuning with Low-Rank Adaptation (Lora) for Evaluating Al-

Fatihah Recitation Accuracy. *2025 13th International Conference on Cyber and IT Service Management, CITSM 2025*.

Kannike, U. M. M., & Fahm, A. O. (2025). Exploring The Ethical Governance Of Artificial Intelligence From An Islamic Ethical Perspective. *Jurnal Fiqh*, 22(1), 134–161.

Kim, S.-Y. (2026). Developing pre-service English teachers' critical and ethical AI literacy in writing with generative AI. *System*, 140.

Lal, R. (2026). Designing a Teacher Training Program of AI Literacy for K-12 Educators. In *Defining an AIBased K-12 Education System* (pp. 101–120).

Le, T. H., Huynh, L., Dang, B., Pham, H.-H., Nguyen, N. T. V, & Nguyen, A. (2026). Development and evaluation of artificial intelligence literacy training for teacher education students. *British Journal of Educational Technology*.

Ly, N. H. C., Thau, N. T., & Quan, N. T. (2025). Blending Federated Learning and Value-Sensitive Design for Ethics and Privacy-Preserving in Education. *Lecture Notes of the Institute for Computer Sciences, Social-Informatics and Telecommunications Engineering, LNICST*, 648 LNICST, 146–159.

Manaf, A. M. A., & Shariffadeen, T. S. A. T. M. A. (2025). Perception about Islam, Attitude, Subjective Norms, and Behavioural Intention in Using Artificial Intelligence among University Students. *Intellectual Discourse*, 33(3), 1017–1041.

Massouti, A., Baroudi, S., & Shaya, N. (2026). Exploring AI integration in United Arab Emirates' teacher education programmes: the voice of educators leading the change. *Cambridge Journal of Education*, 56(3), 383–401.

Maulana, A. M. R., Rachmawati, F., & Mulya, A. S. (2026). From Digital Religion to Digital Islam: Finding God in the Society 5.0 through Maqāsīd Sharī'ah. *Al-Ahkam: Jurnal Ilmu Syari'ah Dan Hukum*, 11(1), 3–26.

Merah, S., & Tahraoui, R. (2026). Integrating Artificial Intelligence (AI) in Islamic Studies Teaching and Learning: A Blessing for Teachers or a Curse? In *Springer International Handbooks of Education: Vol. Part F1691* (pp. 823–837).

Mustapa, A. M., Saad, M. F. M., & Ismail, S. (2025). Traditional to Digital: Evaluating the Use of AI in the Tarteel Application to Enhance Quran Memorization. *Quranica*, 17(2), 53–73.

Nasir, K., Zaman, R. K., Hilmi, A. B. A., Mahadzir, A. H., & Mustaffa, A. M. B. (2025). Artificial Intelligence Integration in Mobile Applications: Innovation and Challenges in Supporting Quran Memorization and Review. *Quranica*, 17(2), 612–645.

Pahrudin, A., Aridan, M., & Barata, M. F. (2025). Teacher Readiness for Deep Learning in Islamic Education: A Rasch Model Analysis of Challenges and Opportunities. *Journal of Teaching and Learning*, 19(4), 262–283.

Shehab, J. M., Marni, N., Ihwani, S. S., Ishak, F. I. B., & Kılıç, M. A. (2025). AI in the Islamic Education to Enhance Personalized Learning: Applications and Future Aspirations. *AI-SI 2025 - IEEE International Conference on Artificial Intelligence for Sustainable Innovation: Shaping the Future with Intelligent Solutions*.

Umar, A., Haqqi, A., Rojihisawal, F., Muhammad Dzul Fitrah, A. R., Dewi, D. A., & Adnan, A. (2025). Artificial Intelligence and the Ethics of Tafsir: Integrating Digital Technologies and Islamic Humanities in Automating Interpretative Processes. *Journal of Educational Technology and Learning Creativity*, 3(2), 324–347.

Wahid, S. H. (2025). Is AI Endangering Religion? Maqāṣid al-Sharī'a as Ethics Framework for Harm Assessment and Mitigation. *Journal of Islamic and Muslim Studies*, 10(2), 49–85.

Wedi, A., & Mardiana, D. (2025). Digital Transformation Model of Islamic Religious Education in the AI Era: A Case Study of Madrasah Aliyah in East Java, Indonesia. *International Journal of Learning, Teaching and Educational Research*, 24(8), 842–863.

Widodo, H. (2025). An AI-Gamification-Religiosity Learning Model to Enhance Critical Literacy in Private Islamic Universities. *Islamic Quarterly*, 69(1), 75–120.

Yahya, M. A. B., Mohamad, S., Malik, M. N. H. B. A., Bidin, S. A., & Muna, A. C. (2025). Empowering the Tradition of Quran Memorization through Artificial Intelligence (AI): A Conceptual and Contemporary Review. *Quranica*, 17(2 Special Issue 14), 447–475.

Yazbeck, G. (2026). Artificial Intelligence in MENA Education: AI, Governance, and Multilingual Innovation in MENA. In *AI in MENA Education Systems: Local Innovations and Global Implications* (pp. 63–109).

Zainadun, N., Salleh, M. N. M., Jamil, A. I., Rekan, A. A., & @ Jima'ain, M. T. A. (2025). Exploring the Potential of Artificial Intelligence in Enhancing Quranic Teachers' Pedagogy: A Systematic Literature Review. *Quranica*, 17(2), 501–541.

Zul, Z., Najihul Huda, M., Fuad, Z., & Abdullah, M. (2026). Integration of Islamic ethics in modern education curriculum: challenges and opportunities-a systematic literature review. *British Journal of Religious Education*.

Zulkifli, D. U., & Tungkagi, D. Q. (2025). Artificial Intelligence and Islamic Ethical Guidelines: A Systematic Review. *2025 13th International Conference on Cyber and IT Service Management, CITSM 2025*.